1			
2	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney		
3	Brian Y. Whang Assistant United States Attorney		
4	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101		
5	Tel.: (702) 388-6336  brian.whang@usdoj.gov  Attorneys for the Plaintiff  LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS  Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq.  10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150  Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  Tel.: (702) 835-6866		
6			
7			
8			
9   10	telia@telialaw.com Attorney for the Defendant, Ornelas-Padilla		
$_{11}$			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
14			
15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:19-cr-00007-CDSNJK	
16	Plaintiff,	JOINT STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE SENTENCING	
17	VS.	(FIRST REQUEST)	
18	FRANCISCO ORNELAS-PADILLA,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Telia Mary U. Williams,		
22	Esq., counsel for the defendant, Francisco Ornelas-Padilla, and Brian Y. Whang, Esq., Assistant		
23	United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, that the Sentencing currently		
24	scheduled for September 22, 2022 at 10:00am, be vacated and reset. The parties respectfully		
25	request, if the court can accommodate them, that the sentencing occur sometime between		
26	November 23, 2022, and January 16, 2023.		
27	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:		
28	Counsel for the Defendant is curre	ently out of the jurisdiction for a previously	

1	scheduled professional obligation, and will not be able to return until the		
2	aforementioned dates, and particularly with enough time to meaningfully confer		
3	with the Defendant, and prepare	an effective sentencing memorandum.	
4	2. Counsel for the Government is also going to be out of the jurisdiction for a		
5	previously scheduled obligation	on the date of the currently scheduled sentencing	
6	hearing, and has no objection to this continuance.		
7	3. The Defendant is in custody, but he does not object to a continuance.		
8	4. Denial of this request for continu	4. Denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice.	
9	5. For all the above-stated reasons,	the ends of justice would best be served by a	
10	continuance of the sentencing.		
11	6. This is the first request for a con	tinuance.	
12	DATED: September 9, 2022	LACON M. EDIEDCON	
13	LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS	JASON M. FRIERSON UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
14	By: _/s/ Telia Mary U. Williams	By: /s/ Brian Y. Whang	
15 16 17	Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Tel.: (702) 835-6866 telia@telialaw.com	Brian Y. Whang, Esq. Assistant United States Attorney 501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Tel.: (702) 388-6336	
18 19	Attorney for the Defendant, Francisco Ornelas-Padilla	Attorney for Plaintiff, United States of America	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	П		

1 2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 4 5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:19-cr-00007-CDS-NJK 6 **ORDER** Plaintiff, 7 8 VS. 9 FRANCISCO ORNELAS-PADILLA Defendant. 10 11 **FINDINGS OF FACT** 12 Based on the Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the court finds 13 that the Stipulation by, between, and among the United States, and the defendant Francisco 14 Ornelas-Padilla, is entered into for the following reasons: 15 16 1. Counsel for the Defendant is currently out of the jurisdiction for a previously 17 scheduled professional obligation, and will not be able to return and prepare an 18 effective sentencing memorandum, by the date of the currently scheduled 19 sentencing hearing. 20 2. Counsel for the Government is also going to be out of the jurisdiction on the 21 current hearing date for a previously-scheduled obligation, and does not object to a 22 continuance. 23 The Defendant is in custody, but does not object to a continuance. 24 Denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage of justice. 25 For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a 26 continuance of the sentencing. 27 6. This is the first request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing. 28 The parties have requested a re-setting of the hearing, if the court approves and is

able to accommodate them, for a date between November 23, 2022, and January 16, 2023. **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW** Denial of this request for continuance could jeopardize the ability of counsel for the Defendant, Francisco Ornellas-Padilla, to adequately prepare for, and to appear for his sentencing. As such, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. **ORDER** IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for September 22, 2022 at 10:00am, be continued to the 1st day of December, 2022 at 1:30 p.m. in courtroom 6B. DATED this 13th day of September , 2022. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE